**Third Quarter 1999** 

onomic -

**)** Analysis'

A Quarterly Publication of the Institute for Policy Innovation

## Same Song, Second Verse

Surplus Again Much Larger Than Expected — And Still No Tax Cuts

The pace of U.S. economic growth behaved much as expected during the third quarter. Real gross domestic product increased by 4.8 percent, rebounding from 1.8 percent in the second quarter – the slowest advance in four years. Year-over-year, the economy is growing at 4 percent.

Consumer spending and investment continue to propel the economy. Personal consumption expenditures, which make up two-thirds of GDP, increased by 4.3 percent, after inflation, over the second quarter and by 5.1 percent over the same time last year. Fixed investment, thanks to continued strong growth in computers, was up 9 percent over the second quarter and 9.6 percent over last year. Together these key parts of GDP grew at an annual rate of 5.3 percent in the second quarter. [Table 1 shows the growth in the major components of real GDP.]

Unlike last quarter, inventories – another major investment category – also added to growth. Inventories along with fixed investment and consumer spending grew at 6.2 percent. While companies doubled their stockpiles in the third quarter, perhaps in anticipation of Y2K problems, inventories are still only at roughly half their average level of the last four years.

The slower rate of increase in government purchases – 3.3 percent – compared to consumption and investment shaved a half point off GDP growth. Reversing a recent pattern, federal defense spending jumped by 9.9 percent while nondefense fell 8 percent in the third quarter. However, year-over-year, nondefense purchases are up 6.3 percent while defense is down 0.1 percent.

Once again the trade sector dragged down GDP, at least in the short run. U.S. exports continued to recover, increasing by 12.4 percent over the second quarter. But, a 17.2 percent jump in imports increased the trade deficit by \$44 billion, bringing the growth rate down to the 4.8 percent reported by Commerce. Of course, a deficit in the current account means an offsetting surplus in the capital account. Foreigners continue to invest more in the U.S. than Americans invest abroad, boosting U.S. capital formation and future economic growth.

### THE SCORECARD THIS OUARTER

Fed	Federal Government Performance (Amounts are in \$billions)						
ltem	FY 2000 Budget Forecast		Actual	Difference (\$bil and %)			
	OMB	CBO		OMB		CBO	
Surplus(+)/Deficit(-)	79.0	70.0	122.7	43.5	(54.9%)	52.7	(75.3%)
Spending	1,727.1	1,707.0	1,704.5	-22.5	(-1.3%)	-2.5	(-0.1%)
Revenue	1,806.3	1,815.0	1,827.3	21.0	(1.2%)	12.3	(0.7%)
Individual	868.9	863.0	879.5	10.5	(1.2%)	16.5	(1.9%)
Corporate	182.2	193.0	184.7	2.5	(1.4%)	-8.3	(-4.3%)
Social Security/ Medicare	608.8	610.0	611.8	3.0	(0.5%)	1.8	(0.3%)

r.							
C	Current Economic Conditions						
Nominal GDP (\$bil)	8,833	8,846	9,165.1	Forecast is CY 1999; actual is January -			
Economic Growth	2.4%	2.3%	3.9%	September 1999			
New Jobs	n.a.	n.a	227,420	Average number created monthly since October 1998.			
Federal Employment - Non defense	n.a.	n.a	2,016,200	As of August 1999.			
			-12,300	Change from August 1998.			
Federal Employment - Defense	n.a.	n.a	640,800	As of August 1999.			
			-25,700	Change from October 1998.			
Total Employment	n.a.	n.a	129.3 mil	Nonfarm, self-employed, military.			
Consumer Confidence	n.a.	n.a	10.9%	October 1999 over October 1998.			
L	Long-term Economic Growth						
Rates on 10-year Treasury notes	4.9 %	5.3%	5.6%	Forecast is CY 1999; actual is average for January thru October 1999			
Inflation (CPI)	2.1 %	n.a.	2.6%	Actual is September 1999 over September 1998.			
Net Investment as a % of GDP	n.a.	n.a.	4.8%	Actual is January — September 1999			
Standard & Poor 500	n.a.	n.a.	12.03%	Total return (price + reinvested divi- dends) for January thru October 1999.			
Stock Index			25.67%	Total return October 1999 over October 1998.			

If there was a surprise in the Commerce report, it was prices. Higher-than-expected increases in the Producer Price Index and Consumer Price Index (CPI) earlier in the year had raised concerns that inflation might be heating up. But, the mere 0.9 percent rise in the thirdquarter GDP price deflator along with tame reports on wage increases from the Labor Department seem to have quelled inflationary fears, at least for the moment.

Table 1			IENITE 2rd		1000			
		DP COMPONENTS, 310 UUARTER 1999						
		(billions of chained (1996) dollars)			Percent Change from:			
CUMPUNENTS, 3rd		1998:3	1999:2	1999:3	1999:2 to 1999:3 <sup>1</sup>	1998:3 to		
UUARIER 1999	Gross domestic product	8,536.0	8,778.6	8,882.6	4.8%	4.1%		
Basic data come from the	Personal consumption expenditures	5,730.7	5,961.8	6,025.1	4.3%	5.1%		
Commerce Department's	Gross private domestic investment	1,551.1	1,599.8	1,650.5	13.3%	6.4%		
Accounts, Tables 1.02 and 7.01	Fixed investment	1,474.0	1,581.0	1,615.4	9.0%	9.6%		
released on 10/29/99.	Change in business inventories	76.1	14.0	28.1	*	*		
<sup>1</sup> Annualized rates.	Net exports of goods and services	-237.9	-319.0	-343.0	33.7%	44.2%		
	Exports	993.0	1,026.4	1,056.9	12.4%	6.4%		
	Imports	1,231.0	1,345.4	1,399.9	17.2%	13.7%		
	Government purchases	1,485.3	1,519.5	1,532.0	3.3%	3.1%		
	Federal	527.0	534.2	538.3	3.1%	2.1%		
	National defense	347.5	339.2	347.3	9.9%	-0.1%		
	Nondefense	179.6	194.9	190.9	-8.0%	6.3%		
	State and local	958.1	985.1	993.4	3.4%	3.7%		
	Residual	2.9	8.1	6.7				
	Implicit price deflator	103.07	104.19	104.43	0.9%	1.3%		
Table 1b	Contribution of GDP Co	omponents	to Growth,	<b>3rd Quarte</b>	r 1999			
Contribution of GDP	(Annualized rate of change from 2nd quarter)							
Components to Growth,	Consumption + Fixed Investment 5.3%							
3rd Quarter 1999	Plus							
	Inventory 6.2%							
	Government Purchases 5.7%							
	Net Exports + Residual = GDP 4.8%							

## Economy Grows Faster under Commerce Revisions

Along with third-quarter GDP, the Commerce department also released revised data for the national income and product accounts (NIPA) going back to1959. Revisions included some definition changes and a rebasing of the price deflator from 1992 to 1996.

Two definition changes of note affected the saving and investment series. One reclassified business purchases of computer software from an expense to an investment. In 1998, the change added about \$125 billion to the category "information processing equipment" which already included computer hardware. [See Table 2.]

# Table 2Information TechnologyHas Been Becoming aBigger Part ofInvestment

- <sup>1</sup> National Income and Product Accounts, Tables 5.4 and 5.5, September 1999.
- <sup>2</sup> National Income and Product Accounts, Tables 5.4 and 5.5, October 28, 1999.
- <sup>3</sup> Information processing equipment which includes computer hardware. Column labeled "New NIPA" also contains computer software.

#### Information Technology Has Been Becoming a Bigger Part of Investment NIPA Revisions Released in October Now Include Software (Amounts in \$billions) **Private Fixed Investment** Information Technology<sup>3</sup> As % of Investment **New NIPA** Old NIPA<sup>1</sup> **New NIPA<sup>2</sup> Old NIPA Old NIPA** New NIPA 1987 722.9 754.3 109.8 141.9 15.2% 18.8% 763.1 802.7 118.2 155.9 15.5% 19.4% 1988 127.1 20.5% 1989 797.5 845.2 173.0 15.9% 124.2 176.1 15.7% 791.6 847.2 20.8% 1990 1991 738.5 800.4 122.6 181.4 16.6% 22.7% 134.2 17.1% 23.2% 1992 783.4 851.6 197.5 855.7 934.0 141.6 215.0 16.5% 23.0% 1993 946.6 1,034.6 152.1 233.7 16.1% 22.6% 1994 1,012.5 1,110.7 173.0 262.0 17.1% 23.6% 1995 1,099.8 1,212.7 189.4 287.3 17.2% 23.7% 1996 206.6 17.4% 1997 1,188.6 1.315.4 315.4 24.0% 233.3 1998 1,307.8 1,460.0 356.9 17.8% 24.4% Avg Annual Growth Rate 5.5% 6.2% 8.7% 7.1% Nominal

13.4%

5.1%

4.3%

Real

13.4%

This switch further underscores the importance of new technology to the economy. Since 1987, real investment in computers has been growing at an annual rate of 13.4 percent, compared to 5.1 percent for investment in general. As a result, almost one-fourth of investment today involves either computer hardware, software or peripheral equipment.

Commerce also reclassified federal employee retirement plans which it had previously treated like Social Security. Contributions along with interest and dividends earned counted as government receipts. Benefits paid out were considered transfer payments to individuals. As long as the federal retirement program was solely pay-as-you-go, this treatment made some sense, though it did ignore the saving aspect of federal employee retirement.

But, federal employees hired after 1984 have a new retirement program that operates just like a 401(k) or Individual Retirement Account (IRA). It doesn't make sense to count contributions and earnings from dividends and capital gains on stocks and mutual funds as government receipts. Doing so artificially inflates the amount of national savings credited to government.

After the revision NIPA will treat the old and new federal retirement programs the same as private pension plans. Contributions, interest, dividends and benefits paid will be deleted from the government accounts and added to labor compensation. Doing so, reduces government savings and increases personal income. With more income and less taxes, personal savings goes up. [See Table 3 for difference in saving as a percent of GDP between the old and new NIPA measures.]

#### Toxation Economic Scorecard

Editor & Publisher: ... Tom Giovanetti

© 1999 Institute for Policy Innovation

Economic Scorecard is a quarterly publication of the Institute for Policy Innovation. Nothing written here should be construed as an attempt to aid or hinder the passage of any bill before Congress.

Econometric forecasting and analysis is provided by Gary and Aldona Robbins, using their Fiscal Associates model.

#### Direct all inquiries to:

TaxAction Analysis 250 South Stemmons, Suite 215 Lewisville, TX 75067 (972) 874-5139 (voice) (972) 874-5144 (FAX)

Email: ipi@ipi.org Website: www.ipi.org

## Difference in Saving Measures between Old & New NIPA<sup>1</sup>

(as a percent of GDP)							
Veer	Type of Saving						
rear	Total	Business	Personal	Government			
1982	0.6%	0.6%	1.5%	-1.6%			
1983	0.4%	0.4%	1.6%	-1.6%			
1984	0.3%	0.5%	1.6%	-1.8%			
1985	0.5%	0.6%	1.7%	-1.8%			
1986	0.2%	0.3%	1.7%	-1.8%			
1987	0.5%	0.5%	1.7%	-1.7%			
1988	1.0%	0.6%	1.9%	-1.5%			
1989	1.0%	0.5%	1.9%	-1.5%			
1990	1.1%	0.5%	2.0%	-1.4%			
1991	1.2%	0.5%	2.1%	-1.4%			
1992	1.5%	0.6%	2.3%	-1.4%			
1993	1.2%	0.4%	2.1%	-1.4%			
1994	0.9%	0.2%	1.9%	-1.3%			
1995	0.7%	0.3%	1.6%	-1.2%			
1996	0.6%	0.6%	1.4%	-1.3%			
1997	1.0%	0.6%	1.8%	-1.3%			
1998	1.5%	0.5%	2.3%	-1.3%			
1999*	1.7%	0.5%	2.7%	-1.4%			
Average	0.9%	0.5%	1.9%	-1.5%			

Based on data before the NIPA revisions, the last **Economic Scorecard** showed how personal and government savings move in opposite directions. Faced with higher tax bills, which have helped bring about recent federal surpluses, people forego saving rather than cut back on consumption. While the revision shifts money out of government savings and into personal savings, the relationship between the two stays the same. [See Figure 1 for personal and government savings as a percent of GDP.]

Because of all the changes undertaken by Commerce, the economy has done better than previously thought. Whereas the annual rate of growth between 1987 and 1998 averaged 2.7 percent under the old measures, the new revisions have boosted that

#### Table 3

#### Difference in Saving Measures between Old & New NIPA<sup>1</sup>

- \* Average of first two quarters of 1999.
- <sup>1</sup> New NIPA saving measure (from Table 5.1 released October 28, 1999) minus Old NIPA saving measure (released September 1999).

#### Figure 1

Revisions Do Not Alter Relationship Between Personal & Government Saving



growth rate to 3.1 percent. [See Figure 2 for a comparison of real GDP growth under the old and new NIPA measures.]

## Will this Recovery Break the Record?

If the economy continues to register positive growth for another 5 months, the recovery, which began in March 1991, will become the longest expansion, surpassing that of the 1960s. Now that dire warnings of Y2K havoc are subsiding, it is highly unlikely that an economy surging at 4.8 percent will plunge into negative territory during the last quarter of this year or the first of next.However, some recent developments have been raising concerns about how much longer the economy can continue to grow and maintain price stability. Unexpected jumps in the CPI and Employment Cost Index last spring cast the specter of inflation over financial markets. The 30-year Treasury bond, which had dipped below 5 percent in October 1998, steadily rose during this year to a level of 6.4 percent. But, thanks to recent reports showing restrained growth in both prices and wages, inflation concerns are easing once again and the bond has dropped closer to 6 percent.



Figure 2 NIPA Revisions Raised Average Growth Since 1987 From 2.7% to 3.1%

The Tax Policy Arm of the Institute for Policy Innovation



Because inflation is a monetary phenomenon, eyes should be directed at the central bank. Following several years of increases under 6 percent, the monetary base (currency plus reserves of member banks) is up almost 9 percent over last year. Although criticized as too stingy during 1996-97, when growth in the money supply dipped below 3 percent, the Federal Reserve may have been too generous of late. [See Figure 3 for the annual growth in money base since 1987.]

A related concern has been the strength of the U.S. dollar. After registering a 33 percent rise between July 1995 and August 1998, the trade-weighted dollar has been falling. While less than 4 percent off its high, continued weakness could pose an inflation threat if dollars being held by foreigners make their way back into the U.S. money supply. [See Figure 4 for the dollar's strength since 1995.]



Figure 3 Money Base Is Up Almost 9% Over Last Year

Figure 4

The Dollar's Decline in Recent Months Has Caused Concern

#### Figure 5

Federal Spending Is Smaller Share of Economy, Taxes Bigger.



## Federal Government Records a \$122 Billion Surplus

The federal government recorded a \$122 billion surplus for fiscal year 1999, which ended September 30. That was over 55 percent higher than the \$79 billion expected by the President's Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and 75 percent higher than the \$70 billion forecast by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO). [See **Scorecard** table.]

However, government forecasts of taxes and spending – the two components that determine the surplus/deficit – were much closer. Income, payroll and excise tax revenues amounted to over \$1.8 trillion dollars, only 1 percent higher than CBO and OMB expected. The \$1.7 trillion in outlays came even closer to forecasts.

The surplus is a combination of federal spending growing more slowly than the general economy while federal revenues have grown more rapidly. Between fiscal years1994 and 1999, the annual increase in nominal GDP averaged 5.6 percent. Revenues, however, grew more than a third faster (7.8%) while outlays rose roughly half as fast (3.1%). As a result, spending declined from 21 percent of GDP to 18.7 percent, and taxes climbed from 18.1 percent to 20.1 percent. [See Figure 5.]

## **Conclusions**

The Goldilocks economy continues with 4 percent growth and little inflation. Given its current momentum, the current expansion will most likely break the 1960s record for length.

Inflation danger signals earlier in the year have prompted the Federal Reserve to raise the federal funds rate by 50 basis points. A more effective course for monetary policy going forward may be to drain off excess reserves, particularly if the dollar continues to weaken and foreigners starting sending their holdings back to the U.S.

Fiscal policy should look to reduce the federal tax burden which now takes a fifth of the economy. Because capital income is the most heavily taxed and because of progressive rates, these higher taxes come at the expense of saving and investment. Using surpluses to pay down debt doesn't help because the increases in government savings are almost exactly offset by reductions in personal saving.

The best way to prolong the current expansion is with a pro-growth tax cut. Washington was two-thirds of the way there this year with the tax bill passed by the House and Senate but vetoed by President Clinton. Let's hope the Congress tries again next year and the President signs on.